

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/873,566	06/04/2001	Dmitri Loguinov	US 010269	US 010269 4215	
24737	7590 09/02/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS IN P.O. BOX 30	TELLECTUAL PROP 101	NG, CHRI	NG, CHRISTINE Y		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2663		

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-4.	
r m	
1/\	
NA	
V()	
10	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/873,566	LOGUINOV, DMITRI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christine Ng	2663		

Advisory Action	09/8/3,500 LOGUINOV, D		1			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christine Ng	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 4,5,9,10,14,15,18,19,22 and 23.		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,0,3,10,14,10,10,19,22 and 23</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-8,11,12,16,17,20 and 21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	out before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	<u>ot</u> be entered s necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. ☐ Other:	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	9/2			
i '		PRIMARY E	YAMINED			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Referring to the argument that Farinacci et al cannot determine a predetermined time updated by the client (page 10, line 28 to page 11, line 8), Farinacci et al disclose that the sender enqueues the packet for a predetermined time if an acknowledgement has not been received within the predetermined time. The predetermined time is "selected in response to a round trip time between the two nodes". Refer to Column 2, lines 57-63. In the specification, page 8, lines 11-15, the applicant also discloses that the predetermined time is updated based at least on the estimated round-trip delay in the packet network. Referring to the argument that Ran teaches retransmission upon reception of an indication and that Farinacci et al teaches retransmission when not receiving an acknowledgement (page 11, lines 9-13), both system involve the retransmission of packets based upon signalling between the server and client.